

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/845,051	04/27/2001	Sev K. H. Keil	B01.002	1476	
28062	7590 01/23/2006		EXAMINER		
	, MASCHOFF, TALW	LASTRA, DANIEL			
5 ELM STR	EET AAN, CT 06840		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3622	3622	

DATE MAILED: 01/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
\$	Advisory Action	09/845,051	KEIL ET AL.				
I	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
		DANIEL LASTRA	3622				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE	REPLY FILED 03 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		-				
				indonment of			
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
•	 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In 						
	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Exten	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee			
have I under set for may re	peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sthin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latereduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropring the final Office in	iate extension fee			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 12/27/2005. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
3. 🔲	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ecause			
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);				
	 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 						
	appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re-	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the							
	non-allowable claim(s).						
7. 🗌	7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·					
8. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a			
10. □ REQU	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ice because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
	, outon,						
			PRIMARY EXA	Reda Mega Miner			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that Herz fails to teach mixing preference information associated with a consumer with preference information associated with a plurality of consumers wherein a degree to which the preference information associated with the consumer is mixed with the preference information associated with a second consumer is mixed with preference information of a second plurality of consumers. The Examiner answers that Herz teaches that consumers are also classified as target objects (see column 12, lines 25-37). Therefore, Herz teaches two target objects (i.e. movies, novels, consumers) and where a metric that allows comparison of any two target objects (whether of the same or different sorts) can be defined and allows a cluster of one target object to be matched with the most similar cluster of another target object. Therefore, Herz teaches mixing preference information associated with a consumer (i.e. target object; see column 12, lines 1-37) with preference information associated with a plurality of consumers (i.e. clusters of target objects) and a metric that allows comparison between two target objects (whether of the same or different sorts) (see Herz column 16, line 60 - column 17, line 7).